

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,027	01/24/2002	Friedrich Jonas	Mo6935/LeA 34,765	3582
157	7590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC			METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
100 BAYER F	· · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1712	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/057,027 JONAS ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Daniel S. Metzmaier 1712	<i></i>
Office Action Summary Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier 1712	
Daniel S. Metzmaier 1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 January 2006.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-1. 	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Star application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	ge
Attachment(s)	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 9 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 19, 2006 has been entered.

Specification

2. The amendment filed June 1, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: applicants newly incorporated subject matter that was disclosed in reference EP-A 991 303 and said reference was not incorporated by reference. Incorporation of said subject matter is deemed to be new matter.

Attention is directed to MPEP 608.01(p): "Mere reference to another application, patent, or publication is not an incorporation of anything therein into the application containing such reference for the purpose of the disclosure required by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re de Seversky, 474 F.2d 671, 177 USPQ 144 (CCPA 1973)."

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,027 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following rejection is made in the alternative to the rejections base on the prior are rejections, which follow.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants incorporate subject matter that was not present in the originally filed disclosure by amendment regarding making the claimed composition.

The claims further include limitations in the claims that do not basis in the originally filed disclosure. Specifically, the testing strips claimed do not correspond to those set forth at pages 6-7, lines 22-12, respectively.

Applicants (page 4 of the response filed September 9, 2004) state that one having ordinary skill in the art would **not** know how to make the claimed dispersions and further state that the examiner has not provided a *prima facie* case of obviousness regarding the making of the poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene). Applicants improperly reference non-English language foreign references describing how said materials are made. Said references are **not** incorporated by reference. "Mere reference to another

Application/Control Number: 10/057,027

Art Unit: 1712

application, patent, or publication is not an incorporation of anything therein into the application containing such reference for the purpose of the disclosure required by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. *In re de Seversky*, 474 F.2d 671, 177 USPQ 144 (CCPA 1973)." See MPEP 608.01(p). The amendments to the specification and claims contains new matter.

Page 4

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed January 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicants (pages 4 and 5) assert the EP 0 991 303 A1 reference is set forth such that it is apparent that applicants intended its disclosure to be a part of the specification as though it were fully set out therein. Attention is directed to MPEP 608.01(p), wherein it states: "Mere reference to another application, patent, or publication is not an incorporation of anything therein into the application containing such reference for the purpose of the disclosure required by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. *In re de Seversky*, 474 F.2d 671, 177 USPQ 144 (CCPA 1973).". The facts of the case law highly correspond to the instant facts. Said amendment is deemed to be new matter. Furthermore, the declaration of Dr. Friedrich Jonas is not probative since it states the subject matter of the EP document to be "incorporated by reference" but the original disclosure did not incorporate said document by reference but merely referred thereto.
- 8. Applicants (pages 5 and 6) further assert the claims do not contain any new matter since the incorporation of the subject matter into the specification, which the

Application/Control Number: 10/057,027

Art Unit: 1712

claims are based is proper. This has not been deemed persuasive for the reasons set forth regarding the objection to the specification based on new matter.

9. The prior art rejections have been withdrawn in light of applicants newly presented evidence that the resistivity is not dependent on the PEDT/PSS ratio but on the particle size and the use of the high-pressure homogenization. See Appendix I of January 19, 2006 response.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaier(Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1712